1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	WILLIAM O. HAAG, III) DOCKET NO. 07-0517
4)
5	<pre>ILLINOIS POWER COMPANY) d/b/a AmerenIP)</pre>
6)))
7 8	Complaint as to billing/charges in) Columbia, Illinois.
9	Springfield, Illinois Friday, November 30, 2007
10	
11	Met, pursuant to notice, at 2:00 p.m.
12	BEFORE:
13	MS. LISA TAPIA, Administrative Law Judge
14	APPEARANCES:
15	MR. WILLIAM O. HAAG, III 3722 Red Brick Lane
16	Columbia, Illinois 62236 Ph. (618) 281-8563
17	E-mail: william.haag@jacobs.com
18	(Appearing pro se via teleconference)
19	cereconrer enecy
20	
21	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by Carla J. Boehl, Reporter
22	Ln. #084-002710

1	APPEARANCES: (Cont'd)
2	MR. MATTHEW R. TOMC
3	Corporate Counsel 1901 Chouteau Avenue, Mail Code 1310 St. Louis, Missouri 63166
4	Ph. (314) 554-4673 E-mail: mtomc@ameren.com
5	
6	(Appearing on behalf of AmerenIP via teleconference)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1			I N D	E X		
2	WITNESS		DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	None.		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>-112 0110 2 2</u>
4	None.					
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13			<u>EXHIBITS</u>			
14						
15				MARKED	ADMITTED	
	None.					
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						

1 PROCEEDINGS

- 2 JUDGE TAPIA: By the authority vested in me by
- 3 the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call Docket
- 4 Number 07-0517. This docket was initiated by
- 5 Mr. William Haag which concerns a complaint against
- 6 Illinois Power Company doing business as AmerenIP
- 7 regarding an allegation that the complainant was
- 8 improperly billed from May 22 to June 20 of 2007 due
- 9 to a malfunctioning meter.
- 10 Mr. Haag, if you can please state your
- 11 name, address and phone number and e-mail address if
- 12 you have one for the record, please?
- 13 MR. HAAG: Sure. It is William O. Haaq, III.
- 14 My address is 3722 Red Brick Lane, that's three
- words, Columbia, Illinois 62236. My phone number is
- 16 (618) 281-8563, and my e-mail address is
- 17 william.haag@jacobs.com.
- 18 JUDGE TAPIA: Thank you. And if I may have the
- 19 appearances for the record from the company
- 20 respondent, please?
- 21 MR. TOMC: Yes, Your Honor, my name is Matthew
- 22 R. Tomc. My last name is spelled T-O-M-C, on behalf

- of the Illinois Power Company doing business as
- 2 AmerenIP. My address is 1901 Chouteau Avenue, Mail
- 3 Code 1310, St. Louis, Missouri, 63166. My phone
- 4 number is area code (314) 554-4673, and my e-mail
- 5 address is mtomc@ameren.com.
- 6 JUDGE TAPIA: Thank you. Is there anyone else
- 7 who would like to enter an appearance at this moment?
- 8 Hearing nothing, I will let the record reflect that
- 9 there is no others wishing to enter an appearance.
- 10 Prior to getting on the record the
- 11 respondent Mr. Tomc filed a motion pro hac vice to
- 12 appear in this matter. Is there any objection from
- 13 Mr. Haag?
- MR. HAAG: No, ma'am.
- 15 JUDGE TAPIA: Okay. And I am familiar, Mr.
- 16 Tomc, you have appeared before our administrative
- 17 agency court?
- 18 MR. TOMC: Yes, I have, Your Honor.
- 19 JUDGE TAPIA: I will go ahead and grant the
- 20 motion.
- 21 MR. TOMC: Thank you, Your Honor.
- JUDGE TAPIA: You are welcome. The purpose of

- 1 this preconference hearing is to clarify the
- 2 complainant's formal complaint. It appears in the
- 3 remedies that he is asking for that he would like
- 4 future budget bill amounts which is unclear to me and
- 5 is also unclear to the respondent. Mr. Haag, if you
- 6 can explain that a little further?
- 7 MR. HAAG: Yes, Your Honor. There are two
- 8 parts to that request, actually, but they are
- 9 related. For the billing period in question, we were
- 10 billed for 12,820 kilowatt hours, and I believe that
- is approximately 10,000 kilowatt hours greater than
- 12 our actual usage, and my ballpark estimate of that
- 13 value was roughly a thousand dollars. And that
- 14 affects our budget bill amount. We are on budget
- 15 billing which means we pay a fixed amount every
- 16 month. That affects our budget billing in that that
- 17 12,820 kilowatt hour billing period artificially
- increases our total usage. And the budget bill
- 19 amount is determined based on our overall usage for,
- 20 I guess, a 12-month period.
- 21 And so I would like to -- I dispute
- the amount of the meter reading for that billing

- 1 period and then that in turn, if I were to prove my
- 2 side of the story, that in turn would have an effect
- 3 on our budget bill amount because that essentially
- 4 took out roughly a thousand dollars from our account
- 5 and applied it towards that one month of alleged
- 6 usage. Does that make sense?
- 7 JUDGE TAPIA: It makes a little sense. But let
- 8 me ask Mr. Tomc, do you have any questions for the
- 9 complainant, Mr. Haaq?
- 10 MR. TOMC: No, Your Honor, I believe that
- 11 clarified what we were looking for, and I think at
- this point AmerenIP would be prepared to file an
- answer to the complaint.
- 14 JUDGE TAPIA: Okay. And before I set the
- schedule for the answer to be filed, Mr. Haag, will
- 16 you be representing yourself?
- 17 MR. HAAG: Yes, I will.
- 18 JUDGE TAPIA: And just so -- I just want to
- 19 make sure you understand that you are held to the
- 20 same standard as opposing counsel. You are aware of
- 21 that, right?
- MR. HAAG: I am, Your Honor.

- 1 JUDGE TAPIA: And then you have the burden to
- 2 prove your case.
- 3 MR. HAAG: I understand that.
- 4 JUDGE TAPIA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Haag.
- 5 Mr. Tomc, could you file the answer
- 6 within ten days?
- 7 MR. TOMC: Yes, Your Honor.
- 8 JUDGE TAPIA: Okay. So the answer will be
- 9 filed to the complaint within ten days.
- 10 Discovery requests, if the parties can
- 11 exchange discovery requests, well, why don't we
- 12 decide now how long. I don't think there is much
- 13 discovery, is there?
- 14 MR. TOMC: Your Honor, I wouldn't suspect so.
- 15 I think in my experience it might be more -- it might
- 16 be beneficial to set the discovery cutoff relative to
- 17 when we file the direct and responsive testimonies.
- 18 That way we could ask each other questions about what
- 19 is in our testimony.
- JUDGE TAPIA: So what date do you suggest?
- 21 And, Mr. Haag, if you would like to respond after Mr.
- 22 Tomc has been given an opportunity. Mr. Tomc, how

- 1 much time do you think?
- 2 MR. TOMC: If we are going to go ten days,
- 3 let's see, if we go ten days from today for the
- 4 answer, that will take us, I think, to have, if
- 5 Mr. Haag had his testimony filed by the beginning of
- 6 January and then we would probably want to have our
- 7 response testimony filed by the end of January, I
- 8 would think that we would want the discovery cutoff
- 9 period to be sometime mid February.
- 10 So I would suggest, if that would be
- 11 the procedural schedule, that we could have discovery
- 12 filed around February 15 which is a Friday.
- 13 JUDGE TAPIA: 15th for discovery cutoff?
- 14 MR. TOMC: Yes.
- JUDGE TAPIA: Mr. Haag?
- 16 MR. HAAG: That's satisfactory with me, Your
- 17 Honor.
- 18 JUDGE TAPIA: Okay. And so the answer will be
- 19 filed within ten days which takes us -- Mr. Tomc, you
- are going to have to help me here.
- 21 MR. TOMC: I don't have a calendar in front of
- me. Today is the 30th. The 10th would be December

- 1 10.
- 2 JUDGE TAPIA: We could say the 14th of
- 3 December. That gives you 14 days basically.
- 4 MR. TOMC: That works, Your Honor.
- 5 JUDGE TAPIA: Okay. So the answer will be due
- on December 14. Discovery to be cut off will be
- 7 February 15 and the parties will file -- well, let
- 8 me, Mr. Haag, since you are representing yourself, do
- 9 you feel comfortable with the prefiled testimony?
- 10 MR. HAAG: Well, Your Honor, I am actually not
- 11 a hundred percent sure what prefiled testimony is.
- 12 JUDGE TAPIA: Mr. Tomc, I want to get input
- 13 from both parties for it to be adequately fair.
- 14 MR. TOMC: Your Honor, based on my experience
- in other complaint cases, if Mr. Haag has his
- 16 prefiled -- if it will be easier for him to make his
- 17 prefiled testimony in the form of a letter, that
- 18 would be okay, too. Of course, it is always
- 19 preferable to do it in the question and answer type
- 20 format that is traditionally used, but I can respond
- 21 in letter form, too.
- 22 JUDGE TAPIA: Attached with supporting

- 1 documents. Mr. Haaq, do you understand that?
- 2 MR. HAAG: I believe so. I would -- if I
- 3 understand it correctly, in a letter form I would
- 4 outline my case and facts and submit that to you.
- 5 JUDGE TAPIA: Yes, well, to all parties.
- 6 MR. HAAG: To all parties.
- 7 JUDGE TAPIA: And to Mr. Tomc, everyone on the
- 8 service list as well as myself.
- 9 MR. HAAG: Okay. Yes. And then I would be
- 10 responded to in a letter form as well.
- JUDGE TAPIA: Well, in a pleading form from Mr.
- 12 Tomc.
- MR. HAAG: Okay.
- 14 MR. TOMC: Yes, I would respond in a
- 15 traditional testimony format.
- 16 JUDGE TAPIA: Right. Mr. Haaq, were you
- 17 planning or wanting an evidentiary hearing with
- 18 witnesses? I mean, do you have witnesses?
- 19 MR. HAAG: I have a question about that,
- 20 actually. I have a telephone conversation record
- 21 with one of the Ameren employees who came out and
- 22 inspected the meter, and I didn't know if I could

- 1 submit the telephone call record or written record as
- 2 testimony or if he would need to be there. And I
- 3 also would like to ask the meter reader, or readers,
- 4 a few questions as well.
- 5 JUDGE TAPIA: Mr. Tomc, any response?
- 6 MR. TOMC: In terms of the phone record, if
- 7 Mr. Haag wishes to attach that to his letter, we can
- 8 address those documents.
- 9 MR. HAAG: Okay.
- 10 MR. TOMC: Accordingly. Or if he wants to
- 11 introduce testimony from a separate person, we can
- 12 address it that way as well.
- 13 JUDGE TAPIA: Okay, very well. Then I think
- 14 that will work. Mr. Haaq, do you have any questions
- in regards to that?
- 16 MR. HAAG: I don't think so. So the telephone
- 17 record is satisfactory.
- Now, if I wanted to speak to the meter
- 19 reader, if we could handle that the same way, with a
- 20 telephone record, is there a way that that can be
- 21 arranged versus taking time out of their day to
- 22 actually, you know, give testimony?

- 1 JUDGE TAPIA: Mr. Tomc, a response? See, this
- 2 is when we start getting -- it starts getting a
- 3 little complicated, Mr. Haag, and I apologize because
- 4 that's when it starts getting a little complicated
- 5 when someone is not represented.
- 6 Mr. Tomc, what is your response?
- 7 MR. TOMC: Your Honor, I am sorry, I didn't
- 8 catch what Mr. Haaq said.
- 9 JUDGE TAPIA: Okay. Mr. Haaq, if you can
- 10 repeat that?
- 11 MR. HAAG: Sure. I was hoping to get an
- 12 opportunity to talk to the person or persons who read
- 13 my meter, and I was wondering if it would be possible
- 14 to arrange for that. And I could submit that
- 15 telephone record or that conversation record in a
- 16 written form as well. However, if you would rather
- 17 that that occur at the evidentiary hearing as
- 18 testimony, I am okay with that as well.
- 19 MR. TOMC: Well, let me respond like this, Your
- 20 Honor. I think, first of all, there are some
- 21 questions -- and this is the complication in working
- 22 when you have a per se litigant -- is that some of

- 1 these questions are really as to what the proper
- 2 procedure or proper method of introduction of certain
- 3 type of evidence would be. So to a certain extent I
- 4 can't answer that, those questions, by responding
- 5 with legal advice.
- 6 However, I will say that when we
- 7 respond to Mr. Haag's direct testimony, the response
- 8 that we will have will be from Ameren personnel that
- 9 are knowledgeable in the areas of meter reading and
- 10 customer service. And so they may be able to respond
- 11 to Mr. Haag's questions at the hearing.
- But I can't, I do want to reiterate, I
- 13 cannot, you know, give advice or explanation as to
- 14 what's the proper procedure for admitting certain
- 15 types of evidence.
- 16 JUDGE TAPIA: Right, right.
- 17 MR. HAAG: Okay.
- 18 JUDGE TAPIA: Mr. Haag?
- 19 MR. HAAG: In that case I will just be
- 20 submitting my letter stating the facts and
- 21 circumstances of the case along with the one
- 22 telephone call record, and I will save my questions

- 1 regarding the meter reading for the hearing.
- JUDGE TAPIA: For the cross examination?
- 3 MR. HAAG: Yes, yes.
- 4 JUDGE TAPIA: Anything, Mr. Tomc, anything in
- 5 response to that?
- 6 MR. TOMC: No, I have no response to that.
- 7 JUDGE TAPIA: Then we will go ahead and
- 8 schedule again the answer for February, I am sorry,
- 9 December 14. That's when the respondent will answer,
- 10 file their answer. February 15 will be the discovery
- 11 cutoff. And then we will set it for a hearing, an
- evidentiary hearing, how many -- 30 days, Mr. Haag,
- 13 Mr. Tomc?
- 14 MR. HAAG: That's fine with me, Your Honor.
- MR. TOMC: From February 15 so that would be
- 16 approximately March 15.
- 17 JUDGE TAPIA: The week of March 10 through the
- 18 14th. The 14th is on a Friday. So the week of the
- 19 -- or that week which is convenient for both of you.
- 20 MR. HAAG: Friday afternoon would be the most
- 21 convenient for me, Your Honor. That would be the
- 22 14th.

- JUDGE TAPIA: Okay. Mr. Tomc, how does your
- 2 schedule look like?
- 3 MR. TOMC: Well, Friday the 14th, the
- 4 afternoon, would be available to me. I would prefer
- 5 it be earlier in the week if possible.
- 6 JUDGE TAPIA: Mr. Haag, is there any day you
- 7 can do it earlier during the week or is it that
- 8 Fridays are your only available days?
- 9 MR. HAAG: They are not the only available.
- 10 They are preferable to me. We could do it earlier in
- 11 the week, an afternoon if possible, though.
- 12 JUDGE TAPIA: Mr. Tomc?
- 13 MR. TOMC: Your Honor, I would suggest then
- 14 Wednesday, March 12.
- MR. HAAG: That will be fine.
- 16 JUDGE TAPIA: In the afternoon, Mr. Haag?
- 17 MR. HAAG: Yes, 2 o'clock would be fine with
- 18 me.
- 19 JUDGE TAPIA: Mr. Tomc?
- 20 MR. TOMC: That's fine with me, Your Honor.
- JUDGE TAPIA: Okay. So we will set the
- 22 evidentiary hearing on March 12 of 2007 -- I am

- 1 sorry, 2008 at 2:00 p.m.
- 2 Anything else we need to discuss,
- 3 Mr. Haag?
- 4 MR. HAAG: I just want to be clear. After
- 5 Ameren files their response by December 14, then I
- 6 will submit to everyone my letter outlining facts and
- 7 so on, is that correct?
- 8 JUDGE TAPIA: Yes. However, discovery -- you
- 9 can request any discovery requests from the
- 10 respondent and they can do the same to you. Do you
- 11 understand?
- 12 MR. HAAG: Yes, I do.
- 13 JUDGE TAPIA: So any documents that you need
- 14 from them, they will provide you and then you
- 15 exchange discovery.
- MR. HAAG: Okay.
- 17 JUDGE TAPIA: And then the direct testimony --
- 18 I am sorry, yeah, the testimony will be -- when did
- 19 we decide, Mr. Tomc? Help me out a little bit.
- 20 MR. TOMC: Your Honor, I don't think we
- 21 actually set the date for the direct testimony and
- 22 the responsive testimony and then the rebuttal

- 1 testimony. We started talking about discovery. My
- 2 comment was that it was relative to when the
- 3 testimony was due.
- 4 JUDGE TAPIA: Okay. So let's set it now. I
- 5 want to make sure that I understand how we will go
- 6 about this case since each complaint case is done
- 7 differently.
- 8 The direct testimony will be filed by
- 9 both parties, correct?
- 10 MR. TOMC: Yes, Your Honor.
- 11 MR. HAAG: Yes.
- 12 JUDGE TAPIA: And it should be filed, of
- 13 course, after February 15 when discovery is cut off.
- 14 MR. TOMC: Well, actually, Your Honor, that was
- 15 kind of the point I had earlier. I was wondering if
- 16 we could schedule direct testimony for both parties
- 17 before the end of the cutoff. That way we can ask
- 18 each other questions about information that appears
- 19 in our testimony.
- 20 JUDGE TAPIA: Okay. And what date do you
- 21 suggest? And, Mr. Haag, of course, you can jump in.
- MR. HAAG: Sure.

- JUDGE TAPIA: In January?
- 2 MR. TOMC: I would suggest a date for Mr.
- 3 Haag's direct testimony to fall somewhere at the
- 4 beginning of the month of January.
- JUDGE TAPIA: Mr. Haag?
- 6 MR. HAAG: That's fine with me, Your Honor.
- 7 JUDGE TAPIA: Okay. And what is sufficient
- 8 time? Mr. Tomc, if you can put what your experience,
- 9 what would you say?
- 10 MR. TOMC: I would say if Mr. Haaq could file
- 11 his direct testimony by Friday, January 4, and then
- 12 Ameren would file our direct testimony by January 25,
- 13 that would give three weeks between -- well, two to
- 14 three weeks in between those days. Would that work
- 15 for the tribunal and Mr. Haag?
- 16 MR. HAAG: That's fine with me.
- 17 JUDGE TAPIA: Okay. Then we will go ahead and
- 18 do that. Those will be the dates. January 4 would
- 19 be the direct testimony to be filed by Mr. Haag.
- 20 January 25 Mr. Tomc, the respondent, can respond to
- 21 direct testimony on that day. The cutoff will be
- 22 February 15.

- 1 Anything else? Is there anything else
- that we need to discuss? Mr. Haag?
- 3 MR. HAAG: No, Your Honor.
- 4 JUDGE TAPIA: Mr. Tomc?
- 5 MR. TOMC: No, Your Honor.
- 6 JUDGE TAPIA: Okay. Do we have all the dates
- 7 that we need? I believe we do. Mr. Tomc, if you
- 8 could be so kind to send an e-mail to myself as well
- 9 as to Mr. Haag just memorializing these dates? I
- 10 will also put it in my report for today's hearing,
- 11 but so that we have it all, we are all on the same
- 12 page.
- 13 MR. TOMC: Yes, Your Honor, I will send an
- 14 e-mail to all the parties.
- JUDGE TAPIA: Okay. And, Mr. Haag, any
- 16 questions?
- 17 MR. HAAG: Not at this time, Your Honor.
- 18 JUDGE TAPIA: Okay. If there is nothing
- 19 further, we will go ahead and continue this case to
- 20 the next hearing which will be the evidentiary
- 21 hearing which is to be scheduled March 12, 2008, at
- 22 2:00 p.m.

1	Anything further from any of the
2	parties?
3	MR. HAAG: No, Your Honor.
4	JUDGE TAPIA: Thank you both. We will end the
5	record.
6	(Whereupon the hearing in this
7	matter was continued until March
8	12, 2008, at 2:00 p.m. in
9	Springfield, Illinois.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	